IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.4300 OF 2006

Sarita Shrikant Bhoyar .. Petitioner.
Vs.
Shrikant Bhoyar .. Respondent.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.2900 OF 2006

Shrikant Vishwanath Bhoyar .. Petitioner.
Vs.
Sarita Shrikant Bhoyar .. Respondent.

Mr.S.P.Thorat for the petitioner in WP No.4300/06 and the respondent in WP No.2900/06.
Mr.A.M.Vernekar a/w Mr.M.V.Upadhye for the respondent in WP No.4300/06 and the petitioner in WP No.2900/06.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 20TH SEPTEMBER, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent.

2. Both these writ petitions arise from the matrimonial dispute. In the petition filed by the husband (W.P.No.2900/06) he has challenged the order passed on the application at Exhibits-2 and 10 whereas in the petition filed by the wife (W.P.No.4300/06), she has impugned the order passed on the application at Exhibits-9 and 16 for maintenance to herself and her child. With great efforts of the learned counsel

for the parties, the parties have amicably settled the dispute and they have filed the consent terms duly signed by the parties and their respective advocates. The consent terms are taken on record and marked "X" for identification. The parties are present in the court. All the undertakings given in the consent terms are accepted. They have agreed to co-operate for complying with all the formalities, as stated in the consent terms, for getting consent divorce as also for disposal of the complaint under section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code. Both the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the consent terms. Certified copy expedited.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)